

# PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES

August 9, 2000

## CALL TO ORDER:

Chairman Dan Maks called the meeting to order at 7:02 p.m. in the Beaverton City Hall Council Chambers at 4755 SW Griffith Drive.

## ROLL CALL:

Present were Chairman Dan Maks, Planning Commissioners Bob Barnard, Sharon Dunham, Chuck Heckman, Eric Johansen and Vlad Voytilla. Commissioner Bode was excused.

Principal Planner Hal Bergsma, Senior Planner Alan Whitworth, AICP, Associate Planner Veronica Smith, Associate Planner Tyler Ryerson, Assistant City Attorney Bill Scheiderich and Recording Secretary Sandra Pearson represented staff.

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Maks, who presented the format for the meeting.

## VISITORS:

Chairman Maks asked if there were any visitors in the audience wishing to address the Commission on any non-agenda issue or item. There were none.

**BOB TENNER**, 7695 SW Wilson Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008, addressed a motion from a recent CCI Meeting providing that a Memorandum be transmitted to the Planning Commission requesting that they be provided adequate time to transmit information to their members on CPA 99-00025, CPA 98-0011 and TA 99-0010 – Annexation, Title 3 and Goal 5 Amendments. He observed that he does not anticipate any action on these issues this evening, adding that his conversations with staff has been occurring since May 2000 and that appropriate action will be taken at CCI.

Chairman Maks suggested that members of CCI subscribe to *The Valley Times*, observing that all City of Beaverton land use actions are recorded in this publication. He expressed his appreciation to Mr. Tenner for his efforts, advising him that he is welcome to remain for the entire meeting.

## STAFF COMMUNICATION:

1 Referring to the recently distributed Planning Commissioner's Journal, Chairman Maks  
2 suggested that everyone read the article "Avoiding Site Visit Traps".  
3

4 **OLD BUSINESS:**

5  
6 **CONTINUANCES:**

7  
8 Chairman Maks opened the Public Hearing and read the format for Public  
9 Hearings. There were no disqualifications of the Planning Commission members.  
10 No one in the audience challenged the right of any Commissioner to hear any of  
11 the agenda items, to participate in the hearing or requested that the hearing be  
12 postponed to a later date. He asked if there were any ex parte contact, conflict of  
13 interest or disqualifications in any of the hearings on the agenda. There was no  
14 response.  
15

16 **A. CPA 98-00011/TA 99-00010 – ANNEXATION POLICY AMENDMENT**

17 (Continued from July 19, 2000)

18 The proposed amendments implement Periodic Review Order #00717 (formerly  
19 WO #00628), Work Tasks #2 and #12. These amendments would update the  
20 City's Comprehensive Plan and Development Code to reflect changes in City  
21 policies, regional mandates and state law relating to municipal annexations and  
22 urban service delivery. Specifically, CPA 98-00011 may result in modifications  
23 to Sections 1.3 (Comprehensive Plan Amendment Procedures Element), Section  
24 2.6 (Public Involvement Element), 3.10 through 3.11 (Land Use Element), and  
25 related sections of the Comprehensive Plan. TA 99-00010 may result in  
26 modifications to Sections 10.40, 40.15.15, 40.90.10 and 40.90.15 and other  
27 sections of the Development Code.  
28

29 Senior Planner Alan Whitworth presented the Staff Report, mentioned that this  
30 application had been continued on July 19, 2000, and discussed recent  
31 discretionary and non-discretionary cases involving the City of Beaverton.  
32

33 Chairman Maks commended Mr. Whitworth's Staff Report, expressing his  
34 appreciation of the excellent presentation of information and materials.  
35

36 Commissioner Dunham also complimented Mr. Whitworth's Staff Report,  
37 particularly the improvements to the diagrams.  
38

39 Mr. Whitworth advised Commissioner Dunham that Senior Planner Barbara Fryer  
40 had prepared these diagrams.  
41

42 Commissioner Johansen referred to the communication from Nathalie Darcy  
43 requesting that the NACs and CPOs be included in the mailing list.  
44

45 Mr. Whitworth advised Commissioner Johansen that he does have a copy of this  
46 e-mail from Ms. Darcy, observing that he has no problem including the NACs and

1 CPOs to this section of the Development Code, although he believes they are  
2 already receiving this notification.

3  
4 Commissioner Johansen pointed out that this is a specific request that the Notice  
5 of Final Decision be mailed to the appropriate NAC and CPO, adding that this  
6 should be included in Section 1.3.4.3(c)F of the Development Code.

7  
8 Commissioner Dunham observed that the e-mail refers to an amendment to  
9 Development Code Discretionary Annexation, pointing out that this particular  
10 section refers to Non-Discretionary Annexation.

11  
12 Mr. Whitworth referred to pages 7 and 8, which involves both Discretionary and  
13 Non-Discretionary Annexation.

14  
15 Commissioner Dunham commented that it is difficult to be certain exactly what  
16 Ms. Darcy is requesting without her presence.

17  
18 Commissioner Johansen pointed out that he is slightly less concerned with a non-  
19 discretionary issue.

20  
21 Mr. Whitworth stated that the NAC and CPO could be included in any of these  
22 sections.

23  
24 Commissioner Barnard emphasized that Section 1.3.4.3(b)C. provides that the  
25 notice for a Discretionary Annexation must be submitted to both the NAC and the  
26 CPO.

27  
28 Commissioner Heckman referred to Section 10.40.3.B., specifically which State  
29 law is referenced, and Mr. Whitworth observed that most of the annexation laws  
30 are included under ORS Chapter 222, which describe the City's procedure for the  
31 annexation of property.

32  
33 Commissioner Heckman referred to page 3 of the Staff Report, specifically the  
34 time frame involved from the completion of annexation to the application for a  
35 Comprehensive Plan Amendment or a Rezone.

36  
37 Mr. Whitworth informed him that this is all current language.

38  
39 Commissioner Heckman repeated his question regarding the time frame from the  
40 completion of annexation to the application for a Comprehensive Plan  
41 Amendment or a Rezone.

42  
43 Mr. Whitworth commented that the UPAA has established a time frame, and he  
44 believes it was nine months. (Staff later checked and was able to determine that  
45 the UPAA does not specify any particular length of time).

46

1 Chairman Maks stated that he believes the time frame established by the UPAA is  
2 six months.

3  
4 Commissioner Heckman expressed his approval of this application, observing that  
5 it eliminates an unnecessary step.

6  
7 **PUBLIC TESTIMONY:**  
8

9 On question, no member of the public appeared to testify at this time.

10  
11 On question, staff had no further comments at this time.

12  
13 On question, the City Attorney had no further comments at this time.

14  
15 Commissioners Heckman, Dunham, Barnard, Voytilla and Johansen and  
16 Chairman Maks expressed their support of the applications.

17  
18 Commissioner Barnard **MOVED** and Commissioner Johansen **SECONDED** a  
19 motion to approve CPA 98-00011 – Annexation Policy Amendment, based upon  
20 the testimony, reports and exhibits presented during the public hearing on the  
21 matter and upon the background facts, findings and conclusions found in the Staff  
22 Report dated August 9, 2000.

23  
24 Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously.

25  
26 Commissioner Barnard **MOVED** and Commissioner Johansen **SECONDED** a  
27 motion to approve TA 99-00010 – Annexation Policy Amendment, based upon  
28 the testimony, reports and exhibits presented during the public hearing on the  
29 matter and upon the background facts, findings and conclusions found in the Staff  
30 Report dated August 9, 2000.

31  
32 Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously.

33  
34 **B. CPA 99-00013/TA 99-00004 – GOAL 5 WILDLIFE HABITAT & TREE**  
35 **PRESERVATION AMENDMENTS**

36 (Continued from February 9, 2000)

37 The proposed amendments implement Periodic Review Order #00717 (formerly  
38 WO #00628), Work Task #3 – Statewide Planning Goal 5 Wildlife Habitat. This  
39 Work Task amends City Comprehensive Plan policies and Development Code  
40 regulations implementing Oregon Administrative Rule Section Plan policies and  
41 Development Code regulations implementing Oregon Administrative Rule  
42 Section 660-23-110 for protection of Significant Tree, Tree Groves and Historical  
43 Trees as identified on the Significant Natural Resource Map. Further, these  
44 amendments: (1) establish guidelines for protection of trees identified on the  
45 referenced map; and (2) provide protection for trees identified on the Washington

1 County Community Plan and Map as a protected resource following annexation to  
2 the City.

3  
4 **C. CPA 99-00017/CPA 99-00018 – LOCAL TREE INVENTORY UPDATE**

5 (Continued from February 9, 2000)

6 The proposed amendments implement Periodic Review Order #00717 (formerly  
7 WO #00628), Work Task #3 – Goal 5 Inventory. This work task is intended to  
8 bring the City of Beaverton Comprehensive Plan Map up to date with respect to  
9 Natural Resources. The amendment (CPA 99-00017) would update the current  
10 Tree Inventory Map to include significant groves and trees that have been altered  
11 since the last inventory. The proposal includes adding five new significant trees  
12 to the inventory, which are located on the following map and tax lots:  
13 1N120BA03900, 1S133BD90000 and 1S128DA06100, and in the right-of-way  
14 adjacent to SW Davies Road between SW Harness and SW Stallion Court.  
15 Recommendations regarding the significance of the proposed trees will be  
16 discussed in detail in the staff report. The text update includes one new page per  
17 significant grove or tree, which details the grove/tree health, a general comment  
18 about the grove/tree and a photo. Staff proposes adopting the map (CPA 99-  
19 00017) as an update, adding appropriate new significant trees (CPA 99-00017 and  
20 CPA 99-00018) and updated pages (CPA 99-00018). Please note the new  
21 computer-generated map would replace the current map in its entirety; however,  
22 the new photos and health reports will supplement existing data.

23  
24 Associate Planner Veronica Smith presented the Staff Report and commented that  
25 staff is requesting that this Public Hearing be continued until February 28, 2001  
26 and that requesting that the Planning Commission submit any other information to  
27 augment the scope of the work presented within the Staff Report.

28  
29 Commissioner Dunham referred to page 4 of the Staff Report, specifically what  
30 would be considered a professional with demonstrated expertise in habitat  
31 identification. She mentioned that she had personally thought of Laura Hill,  
32 although she is not actually familiar with her professional qualifications.

33  
34 Observing that this is a good question, Ms. Smith informed Commissioner  
35 Dunham that she does not have the answer at this time.

36  
37 Principal Planner Hal Bergsma explained that a professional would be considered  
38 someone with a degree and background experience in wildlife biology or ecology.

39  
40 Commissioner Dunham commented that she would prefer a specific definition.

41  
42 Ms. Smith pointed out that there would be some criteria, other than credentials,  
43 such as being non-partisan in their approach to this inventory.

44  
45 Commissioner Dunham mentioned that while the Staff Report indicates that the  
46 firm is one of four selected, there is another reference to three firms.

1 Ms. Smith advised Commissioner Dunham that the correct number of firms  
2 selected is four.

3  
4 Commissioner Dunham questioned whether a wide variety of competent firms are  
5 available for consideration.

6  
7 Ms. Smith advised Commissioner Dunham that staff had recently reviewed over  
8 200 proposals for the City Engineering Department, adding that a number of firms  
9 possess the necessary qualifications and expertise.

10  
11 Commissioner Dunham commented that the proposal had been very well prepared  
12 and appears to have covered every necessary element of this application.

13  
14 Commissioner Johansen expressed his opinion that sufficient public presentations  
15 and opportunity have been provided.

16  
17 Mr. Bergsma advised Commissioner Johansen that while this may not be an  
18 adequate number of public meetings, there is a provision in the draft scope of  
19 work to allow staff to have the consultants attend additional meetings, if  
20 necessary.

21  
22 Chairman Maks questioned where Goal 5 falls within the time tables identified on  
23 page 4.

24  
25 Ms. Smith pointed out that it has not yet been determined whether to use the Goal  
26 5 Committee or broaden that to other types of public process, such as an Open  
27 House or a presentation to CCI, in an attempt to get more individuals involved in  
28 the process.

29  
30 Chairman Maks expressed his concern that the Advisory Committee be provided  
31 sufficient time to review the information that has been presented and submit any  
32 comments or recommendations.

33  
34 Commissioner Barnard suggested preparation of a calendar chart.

35  
36 Chairman Maks emphasized that the public outreach program must be initiated  
37 prior to submittal of the report to the Advisory Committee.

38  
39 Commissioner Heckman referred to page 5 of the Request for Proposal,  
40 specifically who is responsible for the project schedule.

41  
42 Mr. Bergsma clarified that the consultants will be expected to submit a proposed  
43 schedule, although staff will make any final determination regarding this  
44 schedule.

45  
46 Commissioner Heckman questioned whether the four firms listed are local firms.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

Mr. Bergsma informed Commissioner Heckman that while these qualified firms are most likely local, it is possible that they could be from outside the Portland Metro area, possibly Oregon or Southwest Washington.

Commissioner Heckman referred to Measure 56, specifically whether the notification for the initial hearing on this matter, which occurred last year, allows a further continuance to 2001 without providing additional public notification.

Mr. Bergsma suggested that Assistant City Attorney Scheiderich could clarify this, adding that he is not aware of any limitations on the amount of Public Hearings allowed so long as they are continued to a date certain.

Ms. Smith mentioned that staff has considered simply notifying property owners upon completion of the inventory and draft regulations as a courtesy so they will be aware that these regulations might affect them.

Commissioner Heckman referred to page 1 of the Request for Proposal, specifically whether sufficient time has been allowed for most of these contractors to respond.

Ms. Smith advised Commissioner Heckman that most of these entities are aware of this and prepared to submit their proposals in that amount of time, adding that she is not aware of whether these particular four firms are aware of this time constraint.

Commissioner Heckman referred to page 3 of the Request for Proposal, suggesting that this particular brochure needs to be distributed to anyone who may be affected.

Chairman Maks advised Ms. Smith that Commissioner Heckman wants to see the brochure before it goes to press.

Commissioner Dunham expressed her concern that six pages of brochure may lose a lot of people, depending upon the format and content of the material.

Chairman Maks emphasized that the consultants are professional, adding that if the information is properly presented it should be well read by the public.

Commissioner Heckman referred to page 3 of the Request for Proposal, specifically reference to two four-hour presentations, emphasizing that he hopes that these presentations do not each last four hours.

Ms. Smith advised Commissioner Heckman that the four hours represents the billing time.

1 Commissioner Heckman referred to page 5 of the Request for Proposal,  
2 specifically Task 7, suggesting that up to three revisions may, rather than will, be  
3 required.

4  
5 Commissioner Heckman referred to page 6 of the Request for Proposal,  
6 specifically who is the contractor for this proposal.

7  
8 Ms. Smith advised Commissioner Heckman that the City of Beaverton is the  
9 contractor.

10  
11 Commissioner Heckman stated that he had thought that the City of Beaverton was  
12 the client.

13  
14 Ms. Smith repeated that the City of Beaverton is the contractor.

15  
16 Ms. Smith referred to the letter from Nathalie Darcy, dated August 9, 2000, and  
17 Chairman Maks advised her that the Commissioners had received copies.

18  
19 **PUBLIC TESTIMONY:**

20  
21 **ROSS TEWKSBURY**, P. O. Box 25594, Portland, OR 97298, congratulated  
22 the City of Beaverton for their efforts on this application, adding that he intends to  
23 continue to volunteer to serve on the Advisory Committee. He discussed the  
24 importance of the scope of the Wetlands Inventory, cautioning that this scope  
25 should be both flexible and inclusive. He observed that the public should have  
26 the opportunity to provide their input and receive a response as well. He  
27 expressed his concern that certain areas be included within this inventory and  
28 mentioned that citizens are a great source of local information that should not be  
29 ignored.

30  
31 Chairman Maks observed that Commissioner Heckman has been around for such  
32 a long time that he is qualified to provide expert information on a great many  
33 issues.

34  
35 **BOB TENNER**, 7695 SW Wilson Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008, mentioned  
36 that he had spoken with Nathalie Darcy, who had expressed concern with the lack  
37 of a public meeting. He emphasized that many issues being considered will affect  
38 areas that have not yet been annexed into the City of Beaverton, and suggested  
39 that the CPOs 1, 3 and 6 receive notification of any issues that may affect their  
40 areas.

41  
42 Chairman Maks and Mr. Bergsma advised Mr. Tenner that CPOs 1, 3 and 6  
43 would receive the appropriate notifications.

44  
45 Chairman Maks observed that the City of Beaverton has received more  
46 participation from county residents than city residents on this issue.



Commissioner Heckman **MOVED** and Commissioner Johansen **SECONDED** a motion that CPA 99-00013/TA 99-00004 – Goal 5 Wildlife Habitat & Tree Preservation Amendments; and CPA 99-00017/CPA 99-00018 – Local Tree Inventory Update be continued to a date certain of February 28, 2001.

Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously.

7:55 p.m. to 8:08 p.m. – break.

**NEW BUSINESS:**

**PUBLIC HEARING:**

**A. RZ 2000-0006 – SW HANSON ROAD AND SW 135<sup>TH</sup> STREET REZONE**

Request for approval to modify the existing zoning of three parcels located between SW Hanson Road and SW Barberry Lane at 13385 SW Barberry Lane and 13450 SW Hanson Road from Urban Standard Density (R-7) to Urban Standard Density (R-5). The development proposal is located on Washington County Assessor's Map 1S121DC on Tax Lots 1800, 1801 and 9100. The three parcels are approximately 1.49 acres in size.

Associate Planner Tyler Ryerson indicated that no film of the site is available.

Commissioners Heckman, Voytilla, Johansen, Barnard and Dunham and Chairman Maks all indicated that they had visited the site.

Mr. Ryerson presented the Staff Report and described the application for the approval of this zone change and discussed the following issues mentioned in communications that had been received: 1) supported street connectivity; 2) density increase; and 3) represented zoning. He concluded, observing that staff recommends approval, with no specific Conditions of Approval.

Commissioner Heckman referred to page 17 of the Staff Report, specifically public transportation.

Mr. Ryerson advised Commissioner Heckman that this would be addressed at the development stage.

Commissioner Barnard referred to the Exhibit 1 – Detail Map, questioning the origin of this map, observing that there appears to be no vehicle access from Hart Road to 131<sup>st</sup> Avenue.

**APPLICANT:**

**MATT WELLNER**, 8835 SW Canyon Lane #402, Portland, OR 97225, consultant for Homestead Development, the applicant for this rezone, explained

1 the future development of Tax Lot 1800. He discussed the Neighborhood  
2 Meeting, observing that they had mostly discussed traffic issues and pointed out  
3 that the applicant is willing to negotiate the development of this property. He  
4 discussed possible options for the potential development of this subdivision,  
5 emphasizing that the rezone is necessary due to the cost of a street extension and  
6 utilities as well as the location of an existing home in the area. Concluding, he  
7 offered to respond to any comments or questions.

8  
9 **PUBLIC TESTIMONY:**

10  
11 **HOWARD STEIN**, 13340 SW Hanson Road, Beaverton, OR 97008, referred to  
12 a section of a document he had submitted, requesting that Commissioners read  
13 this prior to his testimony.

14  
15 At the request of Mr. Stein, and for the benefit of the Joe 6-Packs in the audience,  
16 Chairman Maks read the section for everyone present.

17  
18 Mr. Stein expressed concern with the long-term impact that may be created by  
19 this rezone, commenting that he feels that the City of Beaverton has been in error.  
20 He emphasized that the code does not include criteria involving financial issues,  
21 adding that the criteria has not been met and recommended continuance of the  
22 Public Hearing. Observing that the burden of proof is on the applicant, he  
23 requested proper notification for evaluation.

24  
25 Chairman Maks reminded Mr. Stein not to get too far off base, adding that some  
26 issues will occur with the rezone or as the land stands and emphasizing that  
27 density is the current issue.

28  
29 Commissioner Heckman mentioned that there would be an impact due to four  
30 additional homes and the density factor and expressed his concern with the p.m.  
31 peak period.

32  
33 Commissioner Johansen commented on the street connection, emphasizing that it  
34 is not accurate to indicate that the public had not been adequately notified.

35  
36 **MARVIN DOTY**, 7325 SW Wilson Avenue, Beaverton, OR 97008, expressed  
37 his opinion that the developer has been very cooperative with both the NAC and  
38 the neighbors and concern with the effect of this development in the future.

39  
40 Chairman Maks encouraged Mr. Doty to continue to be involved in these issues.

41  
42 **APPLICANT REBUTTAL:**

43  
44 Mr. Wellner observed that the property is currently a blank slate and numerous  
45 options are available for its development. He questioned which land use actions  
46 would trigger an automatic traffic analysis.

1 Mr. Ryerson indicated that he had no final comments.

2

3 Assistant City Attorney Scheiderich indicated that he had no final comments.

4

5 The public portion of the Public Hearing was closed.

6

7 Commissioner Heckman indicated that he anticipates no problem with the rezone  
8 from R-7 to R-5, expressing his opinion that this will provide adequate and  
9 affordable housing. He stated that the minimal size would not create enough  
10 impact to warrant further study, and expressed his support of the application for  
11 the approval of the zone change.

12

13 Commissioner Johansen emphasized that this is not the proper location to attempt  
14 to meet density requirements, pointing out that adding only smaller amounts of  
15 traffic numerous times adds up. He stated that he could not support this increase  
16 in consideration of the level of service on Sorrento, noting that he does not  
17 support this application as it does not meet the applicable criteria.

18

19 Commissioner Dunham stated that she is in favor of a continuance of the Public  
20 Hearing.

21

22 Chairman Maks observed that the applicant had neither requested a continuance  
23 nor submitted a waiver of the 120-day rule.

24

25 Mr. Wellner stated that he would like to consider a continuance.

26

27 9:22 p.m. to 9:29 p.m. – break.

28

29 Chairman Maks requested comments regarding the request for a continuance.

30

31 Commissioner Heckman stated that he supports this valid request.

32

33 Commissioner Johansen observed that this application had been submitted  
34 without the required traffic study, adding that although the Public Hearing could  
35 be continued for this purpose, it should have been provided in the first place.

36

37 Chairman Maks stated that the burden of proof is on the applicant, adding that  
38 Criterion 3 is clear and it is difficult to make a decision.

39

40 Commissioners Dunham, Voytilla and Barnard all expressed support of a  
41 continuance.

42

43 Emphasizing that the applicant had made the decision not to submit a traffic  
44 analysis, Chairman Maks stated that he does not support this continuance.  
45 Referring to the criteria, he expressed his opinion that a traffic analysis is not  
46 going to change what is already known.

1 Commissioner Barnard stated that he does not support the continuance for the  
2 purpose of obtaining a traffic study, adding that he already knows this area is  
3 congested.

4  
5 Commissioner Voytilla observed that a continuance would include the reopening  
6 of the Public Hearing, stating that he agrees with Commissioner Heckman and is  
7 in support of the proposal.

8  
9 Commissioners Dunham and Barnard expressed their support of the application.

10  
11 Commissioners Johansen and Chairman Maks expressed concern with meeting  
12 applicable criteria.

13  
14 Commissioner Voytilla stated that this action would create a fairly small impact.

15  
16 Commissioner Heckman stated that growth could not occur without increasing  
17 traffic, adding that he has confidence in staff's recommendation and supports  
18 approval.

19  
20 On question, the applicant stated that he no longer desires a continuance of this  
21 Public Hearing.

22  
23 Commissioner Heckman **MOVED** and Commissioner Voytilla **SECONDED** a  
24 motion to approve RZ 2000-0006 – SW Hanson Road and SW 135<sup>th</sup> Street  
25 Rezone, based upon the testimony, reports and exhibits presented during the  
26 public hearing on the matter and upon the background facts, findings and  
27 conclusions found in the Staff Report dated August 2, 2000.

28  
29 Commissioner Johansen observed that he is not in support of this Rezone,  
30 emphasizing that it is not in compliance with Criterion 3.

31  
32 Chairman Maks agreed with Commissioner Johansen, noting that he is also  
33 opposed to this Rezone.

34  
35 Motion **CARRIED**, by the following roll call vote:

36  
37 AYES: Barnard NAYS: Johansen  
38 Dunham Maks  
39 Heckman  
40 Voytilla

41  
42 **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

43  
44 Minutes of the meeting of July 12, 2000, submitted. Commissioner Voytilla  
45 referred to line 39, page 14, requesting that it be amended, as follows: “ ...a  
46 more direct **pedestrian** access...” Commissioner Heckman referred to line 35,

1 page 27, line 35, requesting that it be amended, as follows: "...this early stage of  
2 ~~the~~ development." Commissioner Heckman **MOVED** and Commissioner  
3 Voytilla **SECONDED** a motion that the minutes be approved, as amended.

4  
5 Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously, with the exception of Commissioner Dunham  
6 and Johansen, who abstained from voting on this issue.

7  
8 Minutes of the meeting of July 26, 2000, submitted. Commissioner Dunham  
9 referred to line 18, page 8, suggesting that it be amended, as follows: "Mr. Dane  
10 represents ~~friends~~." Commissioner Dunham referred to line 20, page 11,  
11 requesting that it be amended, as follows: " expressing his opinion, however,  
12 that it had been a valid request." Commissioner Johansen **MOVED** and  
13 Commissioner Heckman **SECONDED** a motion that the minutes be approved, as  
14 amended.

15  
16 Motion **CARRIED**, unanimously.

17  
18 **MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS:**

19  
20 The meeting adjourned at 10:10 p.m.  
21

1				<u>CALENDAR:</u>	
2	September	20	Public Hearing	CPA 2000-0004	HALL/METZ COMPREHENSIVE PLAN
3				RZ 2000-0007	AMENDMENT & REZONE
4			Public Hearing	CPA 99-00025	COMPREHENSIVE PLAN LAND USE
5					ELEMENT
6	October	4	Public Hearing	TA 2000-0004	TITLE 4 IMPLEMENTATION
7			Public Hearing	CPA 2000-0007	
8				RZ 2000-0009	CDB OPERATIONS CENTER EXPANSION
9		18	Public Hearing	SB 2000-0013	
10				FS 2000-0006	SHIPLEY 4-LOT SUBDIVISION
11	November	1	Public Hearing	CPA 2000-0005	ECONOMY ELEMENT
12	February	28	Public Hearing	CPA 99-00017	
13				CPA 99-00018	LOCAL TREE INVENTORY UPDATE
14				CPA 99-00013	
15				TA 99-00004	GOAL 5 WILDLIFE HABITAT &
16					TREE PRESERVATION AMENDMENTS